

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,999	01/15/2002		Saul E. Greenhut	7163-37	5738	
21324	7590 03/14/2006			EXAMINER		
HAHN LO	ESER &	PARKS, LLP	BOCKELMAN, MARK			
One GOJO	Plaza					
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AKRON, O	H 4431	1-1076	3766			

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
	Office A 41'- 11 October 11	10/047,999		GREENHUT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Mark W. Bo		3766					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the d	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF EHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no even and will apply and will of tute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	August 2005.							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except fo	or formal matters, pro-	secution as to the	e merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 14-18 and 26 -32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,14 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6, 8, 16-18, and 26-32 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	on Papers		•						
	•	inor							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Information	r No(s)/Mail Date 6-16-25	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kleks et al. USPN 5,417,718. Kleks teaches a method in which an evoked response is detected and compared to a polarization template, with a matched evoked signal indicating a lack of success, and a prescribed difference indicating a capture success. See column 14 lines 19-27. A signal is generated (see item 180 of algorhythm) as a "no" when the feature comparison indicates no capture. The signal generated, however represented electrically, induces a return to stimulation in the system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleks et al. USPN 5,417,718. While applicant teaches the detection and comaparision of negative signals, Kleks evaluates the amplitude of evoked signals by using a threshold value to detected the evoked signal. Switching the polarity of the sensing

Application/Control Number: 10/047,999 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

elements and threshold value would not constitute and unobvious difference since the same result would be obtained.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-6, 8, 16-18, 26-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8-8-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argue that the Kleks reference does not generate a "positive" signal when an evoked response is compared to the template and the resulting signal provides further treatment. Presumably, this is because the algorithm shows a "no" response when the detected signal resembles the polarization template. However, the electrical signals representing the response is not in fact a no, but an electrical signal whether it be a 0, a 1 or a 2, each is a positive response as opposed to no response. The examiner fails to see how a different "representation" of a electrical signal that accomplishes the same task would constitute patentable subject matter as argued by applicant.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 10:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272 -6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,999 Page 5

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWB

February 20, 2006

Mark Bockelman